# UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

#### **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -117)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,800 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 30, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

### SCHEDULE CTO-117 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<b>DIST</b>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION		
ALABAMA MIDDLE					
ALM ALM ALM	1 2 3	19-00763 19-00753 19-00762	City of Geneva, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Crenshaw County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Macon County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
ALABAMA SOUTHERN					
ALS	1	<del>19-00756</del>	Opposed 10/29/19  The DCH Health Care Authority v. Purdue Pharma, L.P.		
COLORADO					
CO	1	19-02884	City of Greeley v. Sackler et al		
FLORIDA MIDDLE					
FLM	3	19-01153	City of Palatka, Florida v. Endo Health Solutions Inc., et al		
FLORIDA SOUTHERN					
FLS	1	19-24126	City of Homestead, Florida v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al		
MINNESOTA					
MN	0	19-02722	Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, LTD et al		
OKLAHOMA WESTERN					
OKW	5	19-00921	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. McKesson Corporation et al Opposed 10/28/19		
SOUTH CAROLINA					
SC	3	19-02893	Columbia South Carolina, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al		

### TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS	4	<del>19-03845</del>	County of Burleson v. Walmart Inc. et al Opposed 10/28/19
TXS	4	19-03983	County of Freestone

### WASHINGTON WESTERN

WAW 3 19–05958 City of Bainbridge Island v. Sackler et al

#### WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 19–00723 Al Marino, Inc. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al